

AVIAN DIVERSITY IN URBAN, PERIURBAN AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL AREAS OF MAUGANJ, REWA (M.P.)

D. N. Pandey and Sandeep K. Shukla

Prof. Department of Zoology, Govt. S.K.N. P.G. College Mauganj, Rewa (M.P.)

Lecturer Department of Zoology, Govt. P.G. College, Seoni (M.P.)

ABSTRACT: - Habitat structure and complexity are the two main components for determining community and its diversity. As urbanization continues, urban avifauna is becoming increasingly appropriate target for research and conservation efforts. As a result of urbanization, landscapes and land-use patterns are changing the world over. The extreme form of land use alteration has affected species composition and abundance, moulding few species to dominate the urban environment. In this paper we look into species richness and abundance of bird species and their variation along urban-rural gradient Mauganj Rewa (M.P.). Three residential areas of different locations were studied. During the study, total of eleven, eight and six bird species were recorded from urban, periurban and rural residential areas. Abundance of granivorous and omnivorous birds were recorded to be highest in urban and semiurban areas respectively. City planners need to pay more attention towards preserving habitats in urban areas as they provide suitable corridors for various activities of birds and their movement.

KEYWORDS: Avian fauna, urbanization, periurban, rural areas, Mauganj.

INTRODUCTION:

Birds are an amazing and diverse group of animals. Birds, best known for their ability to fly, are unmatched in their command of the skies. Albatrosses glide long distances over the open sea, hummingbirds hover motionless in mid-air, and eagles swoop down to capture prey with pinpoint accuracy. But not all birds are aerobic experts. Some species such as kiwis and penguins, lost their ability to fly long ago in favor of lifestyles suited more for land or water.

Birds are vertebrates, which means that they are among those animals that possess a backbone. They range in size from the minute Cuban Bee Hummingbird (*Calypte helena*) to the grand Ostrich (*Struthio camelus*). Birds are endothermic and on average, maintain body temperatures in the range of 40°C-44°C (104°F-111°F), though this varies among species and depends on the activity level of the individual bird.

Birds are the only group of animals to possess feathers. Feathers are used in flight but also provide birds with

other benefits such as temperature regulation and coloration (for display and camouflage purposes). Feathers are made of a protein called keratin, a protein that is also found in mammalian hair and reptilian scales. The avifauna of India has more than 1300 bird species (Ali 1969). Comparative studies of avian community composition in different habitats, including urbanized and natural, improve our knowledge of general patterns and processes that characterize bird species and communities. The growth of urban centres has profound effects on natural landscapes. Urban planners need better information about the factors affecting the distribution of species and structure of communities in order to create or maintain biodiversity in urban areas (Bohning and Gaese 2000). Increase in urban population results in wholesale conversion of agricultural and forest tracts into urban and suburban environments.

Urbanization often modifies landscapes and land use patterns, leading to changes in the vegetation and altering species composition (Olden 2006, Kler and Kumar 2015a). Studying faunal composition along an urban-rural gradient helps in understanding various ecosystem processes at landscape level. This is a unique area of research (Blair 2004). In the concept of urban-rural gradient, suburban habitats play a unique role in biodiversity conservation. In general, human activities have produced similar ecological structures in urban areas even in different biogeographical regions. The response of birds to these environmental changes could lead to the dominance of bird communities by a few very abundant species (Bezzel 1985, Kler and Kumar 2015b).

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Data on bird community structure was taken from the residential areas of three locations viz: An area of 20sq. K. m. in and around Mauganj Tahsil was selected for the study. The period of study extended from January 2015 to 2015 December, excursion was made at regular intervals of the entire period of study. For the collection of birds and the presentation of photo framing method. Guidelines for management of native prairie birds populations have recently appeared in the literature. The identification of the birds' species was made as per Ali & Ripley and Grimmett et al (1998). The sampling was carried out once every week. Every

week early morning from 6:00 AM to 9:00 AM and Evening 3:00 PM to 5:00 PM has been chosen for bird sighting. During survey the line transect method was followed. Length 200 meter and perpendicular distance range from 2 Metre, 5 Metre, 10 Metre, 15 Metre. Secondary Source NLBW, Books , Journals etc.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Birds compose the **Class Aves Birds**. Birds comprise perhaps the most distinctive class of animals, for they all possess feathers, a feature shared by no other animal. All Indian birds are grouped in to 12 order on our campus 24 species are recorded to date and these fall in to.

Table 1: List of Avian diversity in urban, periurban and rural residential areas of Mauganj

Sr. No.	Order/Family	Scientific Name	Common Name
1.	Falconiformes	<i>Gyps fulvus</i>	Safied gidh
2.	Galliformes	<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	Moro or Mayur
3.	Columbiformes	<i>Columba livia</i>	Kabutar
4.	Psittaciformes	<i>Psittacula eupatria</i>	Hiraman tota
5.	Strigiformes	<i>Bubo bubo</i>	Ghughu
6.	Cuculiformes	<i>Eudynamis scolopaceus</i>	Koel
7.	Piciformes	<i>Dendrocopus mahrattensis</i>	Ksthphorwa
8.	Passeriformes	<i>Passer domesticus</i>	Gauriyya
9.	Passeriformes	<i>Corvus splendens</i>	Koowa or Cag
10.	Coraciformes	<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Chhota kilkila,
11.	Coraciformes	<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	Desi myna
12.	Passeriformes	<i>Pycnonotus cafer</i>	Bulbul, Guldum
13.	Passeriformes	<i>Turdoides caudatus</i>	Dumri, Chilchil
14.	Passeriformes	<i>Ploceus philipinus</i>	Baya
15.	Passeriformes	<i>Centropus sinensis</i>	Mahoka, Huka
16.	Passeriformes	<i>Motacilla maderaspatensis</i>	Mamula, Khanjan
17.	Ciconiiformes	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Kilchia or Karchia bagla
18.	Ciconiiformes	<i>Phoenicopterus roseus</i>	Bog Hans or Raj Hans
19.	Ciconiiformes	<i>Egretta gularis</i>	Kala bagla
20.	Ciconiiformes	<i>Anhinga rufa</i>	Pan dubbi, Banbe
21.	Ciconiiformes	<i>Phalacrocorax niger</i>	Pan-kowwa, Ganhil
22.	Galliformes	<i>Francolinus pondicerianus</i>	Teetar, Safed teetar
23.	Galliformes	<i>Gallus gallus</i>	Jangali Murgi
24.	Charadriiformes	<i>Vanellus indicus</i>	Titeeri, Tituri

In the present study, total of eleven bird species were recorded at the residential areas of urban location belonging to order Passeriformes (48.70%), Columbiformes (35.28%), Ciconiiformes (6.25%), Cuculiformes (5.55%) and Psittaciformes (4.22%). Urban bird communities are often composed of omnivorous bird species. As per annual relative abundance of bird species, Blue Rock Pigeon (28.78%) was recorded to be most abundant species followed by House Crow (22.79%) and Common Myna (13.52%) . The population of the Blue Rock Pigeon has increased worldwide in larger cities, due to the availability of variety of food, mostly due to feeding by pigeon enthusiasts, food discarded by humans, accidental food spillage and availability of easier and more nesting sites (Kler and Kumar 2013). Abundance of Jungle Babbler, Red collared Dove, Black Kite and Eurasian collared

Dove were found to be 8.64%, 6.50%, 6.25% and 5.81%. Least values of annual abundance were recorded in Rose ringed Parakeet (3.45%), Red-vented Bulbul (3.25%) , Purple Sunbird (0.50%) and Asian Koel (0.17%). Species diversity (H=1.99) was recorded to be highest in the month of February (2015). Evenness (E=0.90) was also recorded to be highest in the month of February. Total of eight bird species were recorded in the residential areas of periurban location belonging to order Passeriformes (72.71%), Cuculiformes (21.77%), Columbiformes (5.48%). Omnivorous bird species were found in abundance. As per annual relative abundance of bird species House Crow (40.37%) was found to be the dominating species followed by Common Myna (21.93%) and Eurasian collared Dove (13.29%). Studies have shown that House Crow prefers periurban environment for residing due to the presence of city as well as village refuse which

provides an easy source of food (Gurwinder *et al* 2015). House Crow feeds on refuse thrown away by human in cities and villages (Nathan and Emery 2004). Abundance was found to be 9.63%, 8.48% and 4.35% in House sparrow, Blue Rock Pigeon and Crow Pheasant. Least value for relative abundance was recorded in Asian Koel (1.13%) and Ashy Prinia (0.78%). Species diversity ($H=1.80$) was recorded to be highest in the month of March, 2015. Evenness ($E=0.96$) was recorded to be highest in the month of November, 2015. Total of six bird species were recorded in the residential areas of rural location belonging to order Passeriformes (57.89%), Cuculiformes (1.46%) and Columbiformes (39.87%). Omnivorous bird species were found in abundance. As per the annual relative abundance of the bird species Blue-rock Pigeon was found to be the most abundant species with relative abundance of 38.72% followed by House Crow (34.13%). Studies have revealed that the increase in abundance is related to the increase in food abundance and probably due to the reduction in predation pressure (Kler 2006). Abundance of Bank Myna and House Sparrow were recorded to be 12.68% and 11.08% respectively. Least value for relative abundance was recorded for Asian Koel (1.15%) and Yellow legged Green Pigeon (1.46%). Species Diversity ($H=1.49$) was recorded to be highest in the month of March (2015) and Evenness ($E=0.99$) was recorded to be highest in the month of May, 2015. Study involving foraging guild revealed that granivorous birds were recorded to be abundant in urban location with abundance of 44.54%. This is because exotic vegetation, refuse, and bird feeders may all provide food sources for urban birds (Leston 2006). In semiurban location, omnivorous birds were recorded to be abundant with abundance of 73.06%. This is because omnivorous species adapted to the periurban environment and its particular food resources such as garbage (Gurwinder *et al* 2015). In rural location omnivorous birds were recorded to be abundant with abundance of 46.67%.

CONCLUSION

The only way to become proficient at birding is to practice. Birding may seem difficult at first, but with a little study you will be able to identify many birds with just a quick look or a brief listen to their song. From the present study it was observed that bird species richness was highest in the residential areas of urban location. Some prime factors that affect bird community composition and abundance along urban areas include proximity to the roads, developmental activities and density of buildings (Mazumdar and Kumar 2014). Landscape modification and diversity of habitats for various life forms, makes urban areas a priority area for conservation (Miller and Hoobs 2002). At the same time, urbanization has a positive impact on the bird abundance

of few species which are adapted to urbanization and particularly those that need nesting sites resembling to those of the cliffs or ledges (Wadatakar 2002). Higher resource abundance, lower predator abundance, or a combination of both factors may result in higher bird population densities in urban areas (Sutherland 1976). Blair (2004) reported that species richness and diversity peaked at moderate levels of urbanization due to abundance and diversity of resources available to birds. Thus, diversity in the availability of resources in a particular habitat may be an important factor that determines the structure and diversity of bird communities.

Don't collect rare species. In a colony of rare birds, each individual killed reduces the gene pool – a reduction that becomes more significant as the colony decreases in the size. The one individual removed may have held a mutation that would have allowed the colony to survive some future calamity.

REFERENCE

1. Ali, S. (1969). Handbook of birds of India and Pakistan, Volume 2, Oxford University Press, Bombay.
2. Bezzel, E. (1985). Effects of urbanization on habitat generalists: starlings not so flexible? *Acta Oecologica*, 30(2):182-191.
3. Blair, R B. (2004). Birds and butterflies along an urban gradient: Surrogate taxa for assessing biodiversity? *Ecol Appl* 9:164-70.
4. Bohning, K. & Gaese, L. (2000). Determinants of avian species richness at different spatial scales. *Journal of biogeography*, 24(3):49-60.
5. Grimmett, R., C. Inskipp and T. Inskipp. 1998. Birds of the Indian Subcontinent. London: Oxford University Press. 384 p.
6. Grimmett, R., C. Inskipp and T. Inskipp. 2011. Birds of the Indian Subcontinent. London: Oxford University Press. 528 p.
7. Gurwinder, K., Kumar, M., & Kler T K. (2015). Nesting behavior of House Crow in agricultural areas of Ludhiana, Punjab. *Ind. J. Appl. Res* 5(2):770-771.
8. Kler, T K. (2006) Avifaunal diversity in the different areas of Ludhiana city. *Pestology*, 30(7):35-39.
9. Kler, T K & Kumar, M. (2013). Habitat preference for Common Myna (*Acridotheres tristis*) in wooden nests in agroecosystem. *J Res Punjab agric Univ*, 50(3&4):178-180.
10. Kler, T K. & Kumar, M. (2015a). Prevalance of bird species in relation to food habits and habitat. *Agricultural Research Journal* 52(1):50-53.
11. Kler, T K. & Kumar, M. (2015b). Bird composition in urban landscape of Punjab. *Int J Adv. Res*, 3(5):1113-1118.

10. Leston, D. (2006). Are urban forests ecological traps for understory birds? An examination using Northern Cardinals. *Biol Conserv*, 131:566–574.
11. Mazumdar, A. & Kumar, M. (2014). Difference in nesting ecology of purple sunbird (*Nectarinia asiatica*) among urban and rural habitats in New Delhi, India. *Avocetta*, 38:29-35.
12. Nathan, J. & Emery, S. (2004). The cognitive ability and mentality of Crows: convergent evolution of intelligence in Corvids and Apes. *Ornithol Sci*, 306: 1903-190.
13. Olden, E. (2006) Urbanization and stability of bird community in winter. *Eco-Science*, 16:502-507.
14. Ralph, S. (1995). Assessment of counting techniques. In: Johnston, R F. (ed.) *Current Ornithology* .vol.2, Plenum Press, New York, (pp. 247-302).
15. Sutherland, W. (1976). Avian guild structure and habitat associations in urban bird communities. *Urban Ecol*, 9:399-412.
16. Wadatakar, F (2002). Breeding bird species diversity in relation to increasing urbanization. *Bird Study*, 19:157-166.