

# STUDY OF HONEY: A NATURAL PRODUCT

Akanksha Mishra and Dr. A. K. Tiwari  
Department of Zoology  
Govt. S.G.S. P.G. College, Sidhi (M.P.)

**ABSTRACT:-** Honey, a natural product of the genus *Apis*, usually contains a variety of nutritional and mineral substances which varies depending on the plant species on which the bee forage. It had been noted that over the years, there have been a greater increase in the demand of bitter honey over the sweet honey; this has led to increase in price of this honey type and more gain for the apiculturists. This study was then undertaken to compared the nutritional and mineral compositions of bitter and sweet honey.

**KEYWORDS:** Honey, Natural, Bitter and Sweet.

## INTRODUCTION:

Honey is a sweet natural food made by bees using water, pollen and nectar from flowers (Cantarelli *et al.*, 2008). The variety produced by honey bees (the Genus *Apis*) is the one most commonly referred to, as it is the type of honey collected by most beekeepers and consumed by people (Famuyide *et al.*, 2014). Folyan and Bifarin (2013), reported that honey is produced by honey bee workers mainly from nectar of flower or honey dew on leaves. Nectar is reduced to honey containing predominantly carbohydrates with a very little protein, vitamins, minerals, enzymes, amino acids and as well as other several compounds like phenolic compound thought to function as antioxidants (Surendra, 2008, Oyeleke *et al.*, 2010, James *et al.*, 2013).

These chemical components are of great importance as they influence the keeping quality, granulation, texture, as well as the nutritional and medicinal efficacy of honey (Surendra, 2008). The major constituents of honey are nearly the same in all honey samples, however, the biochemical composition and physical properties of natural honeys varies greatly according to the plant species on which the bees forage (Cantarelli *et al.*, 2008; Ebenezer & Olubenga, 2010; James *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, the properties of natural honeys also vary depending on the differences in climatic conditions and vegetation of the areas. Buba *et al.* (2013), reported that natural honey is one of the most widely sought products due to its unique nutritional and medicinal properties,

which are attributed to the influence of the different groups of substances it contains.

The production of quality honey to assure food safety and hygiene depend on the variation in the active components of the honey which is base on the plant species differences. However, despite the nutritional and health value of bee honey and its produce it has been reported that comparative relationship between the nutritional components and biochemical composition of honeys is very limited.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS:-

Proximate analysis carried out on the honey samples to determined their composition were; protein, fat, dietary fiber, carbohydrate, water and ash. All the samples were analysed in triplicate using standard analytical methods described by Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Moisture content (M.C) was determined by drying 2.0g of each of honey samples at 70°C to constant weight in hot air oven (AOAC, 1990). The mineral compositions presented in the honey samples evaluated include: Sodium and Potassium determined using flame photometer (Model: Corning 410), Magnesium, Calcium and Iron were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Model: Buck VGP 210) and Phosphorus was determined calorimetrically (Gallenkamp UK Model).

## RESULT & DISCUSSION:-

The results of the nutritional composition showed that with the exception of carbohydrate, there were significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) between all the compositions; moisture, ash, fat contents and crude fiber of the two honey samples. Higher values for fat, protein, crude fiber and carbohydrate content were recorded in bitter honey while the sweet honey had significantly higher content for moisture and ash.

The mineral composition present in the honey samples Sodium, Potassium, Phosphorus, Calcium, Iron and Manganese. Statistical analysis showed that there were no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between Na, K, Ca,

Fe and Mn determined for two honey samples. In addition, significant values ( $P < 0.05$ ) were recorded for phosphorus ( $3.00 \pm 0.02$ ) and energy ( $333.64 \pm 0.35$ ) contents. With the exception of Iron ( $0.01 \pm 0.00$ ) and Manganese ( $0.01 \pm 0.00$ ) the sweet honey had higher mineral constituent of all the element analysed. The

variations in the mineral compositions might be due partly, to the different plant species and habitats from which the nectar are sought by the insects (Agunbiade *et al.*, 2013).

**Table 1: some Composition of Bitter and Sweet Honey Bee**

Sampling Site	P	Energy	Fe	Mg	Moisture Content %
Bitter Honey	$3.00 \pm 0.02$	$329.12 \pm 1.82$	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$0.22 \pm 0.00$	$19.93 \pm 0.10$
Sweet Honey	$3.45 \pm 0.02$	$333.64 \pm 0.35$	$1.25 \pm 0.00$	$0.10 \pm 0.00$	$20.14 \pm 0.04$

Values with different superscripts along a column are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ), Values are mean  $\pm$  SE of triplicate determinations.

Moisture content has been reported by Malika *et al.* (2005) to be the most important parameter that determines quality of honey, since it affects storage life and processing characteristic. The moisture content of both the sweet and bitter honey recorded in this study fall within the range as earlier reported by Nigerian authors. They reported that the moisture contents of honey ranged from 12.5 to 25.22%, (Badawy *et al.*, 2004; Oyeleke *et al.*, 2010, Buba *et al.*, 2013). The low moisture content recorded in the bitter honey sample forms an important part of its qualities which protects honey from being degraded by microorganisms. The results of the ash content recorded in this study was similar to the result of Ayansola and Banjo (2011) who recorded range value of ( $0.140 \pm 0.158$ ) to ( $0.708 \pm 0.754$ ) from honey obtained in southwestern Nigeria. for ash content of sweet honey. However, this was contrary to the report of some Nigerian honey samples and other locations which showed that ash content of honey samples varied between 0.05 and 0.79% (Odeyemi *et al.* 2013), Agbagwa *et al.* (2010); Adeleke *et al.* (2006); Malika *et al.* (2005)].

This present work is also in conformity with the results of buba *et al.* (2013) on honey samples collected from north-east which ranged from 0.10 – 0.50 with mean values of  $0.29 \pm 0.11$ , the fat content recorded in this study were within the range of 0.23 and 0.33. The results of protein contents obtained in this research work were in agreements with the work of Buba *et al.* (2013) who reported that the protein content of honey in north-east

of Nigeria ranged between 0.35 and 1.08. The results were also in conformity with an average amount of 0.70mg per 100g reported by National Honey Board. Contrary to the results obtained in this study Agunbiade *et al.* (2012), reported that the protein contents obtained from three site ranged from 1.43 -2.72%. This is an indication that honey is not an adequate sources of dietary protein.

The result of the carbohydrate contents (76.44 - 77.86) obtained were similar to work reported by earlier scientist (Oyeleke *et al.*, 2010; Buba *et al.*, 2013) as well as National Honey Board (77.60 – 87.70). However, significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher carbohydrate content recorded in bitter honey could be attributed to forage plant difference of bees. This is in conformity with the report of (Doner, 1977) that Carbohydrates are the main constituents of honey comprising about 95% of honey dry weight. The result obtained for Iron in both the sweet and bitter honeys (1.25 and 1.53 respectively) are in agreement with the work of Ankrah (1998) but in disagreement with that of Cantarelli *et al.* (2008). Similar to the results obtained in the study Agunbiade *et al.* (2012) reported that there is a wide variation in the mineral composition of honey obtained from three states in Nigeria. They reported that the wide disparity may be due to variation in the vegetations and soil composition of minerals at the different locations from which the honeys were produced. The results of Potassium ( $14.74 \pm 0.16$  –  $16.50 \pm 0.01$ ) reported in this study were in conformity with the results of Adenekan *et al.* (2012)

and Ajao et al. (2013) who recorded ( $0.97 \pm 0.01 - 1.38 \pm 0.01$ ) and ( $0.93 \pm 0.05 - 1.40 \pm 0.01$ ). The Conformity of this present result in terms of some mineral compositions by earlier scientists might be due to similar source of nectar and ecological zone. The results of the Phosphorus and Magnesium obtained with range values (2.62 -3.45) and (0.10 - 0.22) respectively, were in agreement with the works of Agunbiade et al. (2012).

#### **REFERENCES:-**

1. Adenekan, M. O., Amusa, N. A., Okpeze1, V. E. And Owasibo, A. O. (2012). Nutritional and Microbiological Components of Honey Samples Obtained from Ogun State, SouthWestern Nigeria. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*. 1(2), 271-286.
2. Agbagwa, O. E. and Frank-Peterside, N. (2010). Effect Of Raw Commercial Honey from Nigeria on Selected Pathogenic Bacteria. *Africa Journal of Micronials Research*, 4: 1801- 1803.
3. Agunbiade, S. O, Arojoye, O. A, and Alao, O. O. (2012). Evaluation of some biochemical, microbiological and organoleptic characteristics of some honey samples in Nigeria. *Academia Arena*, 4(8): 41 - 45, <http://www.sciencepub.net/academia>
4. Ajao, A. M. Idowu, A. B. and Obembe, A. (2013). Comparative Proximate and Chemical Analysis of Feral and Arti-ficially Reared Bee Honey Samples from Two Ecological Zones in Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Conservation Research DOI*, 1(3):53-58
6. Ankrah, E. K. (1998). Chemical composition of some Ghanaian honey samples, *Research and development note*. Received 12 Sep 97; revised 18 Jun 98.
7. AOAC. (2005) 18th Ed. Association of official Analytical Chemists.
8. Ayansola, A. A. and Banjo, A. D. (2011). Physico-chemical Evaluation of the Authenticity of Honey Marketed in Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific. Research*, 1(12), 3339 - 3344.
9. Badawy O. F. H., Shafii S. S. A., Tharwatt E. E. and Kamal A. M. (2004) Antibacterial activity of been honey and is therapeutic usefulness against *Escherichia coli* 0157; H7 and *Salmonella* Typhimurium infection. *Rev. Sci. Technol Int., Epiz*, 23: 10111-10122.
10. Buba, Fatimah, Abubakar Gidado and Aliyu Shugaba (2013). Analysis of Biochemical Composition of Honey Samples from North-East Nigeria. *Biochemistry and Analytical Biochemistry*, 2(3), 1-7, Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-1009.1000139> Retrieved on September 22,
11. Cantarelli, M. A., Pellerano, R. G., Marchevsky, E. J., Camina, J. M. (2008) Quality of honey from Argentina: study of chemical composition and trace elements. *The Journal of the Argentine Chemical Society*, 96: 33-41.
12. Doner, L. (1997). The sugars of honey - A Review. *Journal Science Food Agriculture*. 28: 443 - 456
13. Ebenezer, I. O. and Olubenga, M. T. (2010). Pollen characterization of honey samples from North Central Nigeria. *Journal of Biological Sciences* 10: 43-47.
14. Famuyide, O.O., Adebayo, O., Owese, T., Azeez, F.A., Arabomen, O., Olugbire, O.O and Ojo, D (2014).Economic Contributions of Honey Production as a Means of Livelihood Strategy in Oyo State. *International Journal of Science and Technology*. 3 (1), 7 - 11.
15. Folayan, J. A and Bifarin, J. O (2013). Profitability analysis of honey production in Edo North Local Govt of Area of Edo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Development*, 2(2), 60-64.
16. James, O. O, Mesubi, M. A., Usman, L. A., Yeye, S. O., Ajanaku, K. O., et al. (2009). Physi-cal characteristics of some honey samples from North-Central Nigeria. *Interna-tional Journal of Physical Sciences*, 4: 464 -470.
17. Malika, N., Mohammed, F. and Chakib, E. (2005). Microbiological and physio - chemical properties of Moroccan honey. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 7 (5): 773-776.
18. Nutraceutical values of natural honey and its contribution to human health and wealth Ajibola, Abdulwahid, Joseph, P Chamunorwa and Kennedy, H. Erlwanger (2012). *Nutrition and Metabolism*, 9(61), 1-12
19. Odeyemi, A. T., Adefemi, S. O. and Adebayo, A. A. (2013). Antimicrobial and Proximate Properties of Some Processed Honey in Ado-Ekiti, *International*

Journal of Aquatic Science, 4(1), 36-43, ISSN:  
2008-8019.

20. Oyeleke, S. B., Dauda, B. E. N., Jimoh, T. and Musa, S. O (2010). Nutritional Analysis and Antibacterial Effect of Honey on Bacterial Wound Pathogens, *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 6(11), 1561-1565.
21. Surendra, R. J. (2008). Honey in Nepal Approach, Strategy and Intervention for Subsector Promotion. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH, German Technical Cooperation/Private Sector Promotion-Rural Finance Nepal (GTZ/PSP-RUFIN).